

Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (\* • ) No. 1 pp • 1 -77,

1.1.

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

# EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND BIOFERTILIZATION AS A PARTIAL SUBSTITUTE FOR INORGANIC FERTILIZATION ON FRUITING OF GRANDNAINE BANANA PLANTS

K. A. Roshdy

Tropical Dept. Hort. Res. Instit. Agric. Res. Centre, Giza, Egypt.

Received 1. April 1.1. Accepted 1. May 1.1.

## ABSTRACT

Grandnaine banana plants received during  $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  seasons, the suitable N ( $\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{Plant}$ ) as  $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  from inorganic, organic or biofertilizer, ( $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  organic) ( $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  inorganic +  $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  organic) ( $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  organic) ( $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}$  organic) ( $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{$ 

Results showed that decreasing the percentages of inorganic N fertilizer from 1... to 7...% and in the same time increasing the percentages of both organic and biofertilizers from ... to 7...% of the suitable rate of N was accompanied with enhancing in growth characters namely, height and girth of pseudostem and leaf area. Also, the percentages of N, P and K in the leaf, weights of bunch, hand and finger, total soluble solids, reducing and total sugars. But

was responsible for reducing starch, total acidity, nitrite and nitrate contents in the pulp compared with using N completely via each source. Using the suitable N completely via inorganic, organic or biofertilization had unfavourable effects. Using N at percentages lower than 3.% out of the suitable N gave unacceptable effects. Nitrate or nitrite contents of the pulp was greatly reduced with using organic and biofertilization alone or when the three sources of N were applied together as compared with using N completely via inorganic form.

For producing organic Grandnaine banana fruits as well as improving yield quantitively and qualitively, its is preferable to fertilize plants with  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{N}$  / plant as  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{X}$  inorganic,  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{X}$  organic and  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{X}$  biofetilizer.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Generally, N fertilization is considered an important and limiting factor for growth and productivity of different banana cvs. Recently, many trials were carried out to adjust and select the amount of mineral N that should be added to fruit orchards to protect the environment from dangerous pollution (Nijjar, 1940). Pollution is one of the most problems that affect human health especially when the edible part of the plant is polluted with any of the pollutants. In this respect, using mineral N fertilizers cause accumulation of harmful residual substances like  $NO_r$  and  $NO_r$  in the pulp of the fruits. On the other hand, pollution is considered the major problems that faces the exportation process. The question is how to produce more save fruits for human health through the use of chemical fertilizers. Continuous application of organic and biofertilizers is promising in the long run of bananas, as sources of organic matter, essential nutrients, amino acids, natural hormones, antibiotics and B vitamins. Also, improving both physical and chemical characters of the soil (Nijjar, 1940 and Kannaiyan,  $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ ).

Grandnaine banana cultivar is considered a prime and popular banana cv due to its higher bunch weight, larger hands and fingers

(Stover and Simmonds, 19AY). Fruiting area and the total production of banana fruits in Egypt according to  $7 \cdot \cdot A$  statistics reached  $070 \cdot A$ feddans and  $1.7750^{\circ}$  metric ton fruits, respectively.

Many authors previously mentioned that adjusting the amount of N as well as using the suitable amount of N via inorganic, organic and biofertilizers sources were very beneficial in enhancing growth and fruiting of different banana cvs as compared with using mineral N alone (Ahmed *et al.*, 1997 and 1997; El- Shamaa,  $7 \cdot \cdot 1$ ; Soliman,  $7 \cdot \cdot 1$ ; Kamel,  $7 \cdot \cdot 7$ ; Abd El- Monaem- Eman and Radwan,  $7 \cdot \cdot 7$ ; Hammam *et al.*,  $7 \cdot \cdot 7$ ; Ahmed *et al.*,  $7 \cdot \cdot 7$ ; Hammam,  $7 \cdot \cdot 7$ ; Roshdy ,  $7 \cdot \cdot \xi$ ; Gobara,  $7 \cdot \cdot \xi$ ; El- Shenawi and Hassouna,  $7 \cdot \cdot \xi$ ; El- Sawy,  $7 \cdot \cdot \circ$ ; Sayed- Shren,  $7 \cdot \cdot \xi$  and El- Shenawi *et al.*,  $7 \cdot \cdot \Lambda$ ).

The target of this study was reducing the amount of inorganic N fertilizer by using organic and biofertilization to avoiding pollution and improving yield and fruit quality of Grandnaine banana.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out during two consecutive seasons of  $\gamma \cdot \cdot A/\gamma \cdot \cdot q$  and  $\gamma \cdot \cdot q/\gamma \cdot \cdot q$  seasons on the third and fourth rations of Grandnaine banana cv. in a private orchard located at Itsa village, Minia Governorate. Soil of the orchard is silty clay with a water table depth not less than two meters deep. Analysis of the tested soil was made according to the procedures outlined by Wilde *et al.*,  $(19A\circ)$  and the data are shown in Table 1.

Constituents	Values
Sand %	۲۲.۰
Silt %	00.9
Clay %	27.1

Table \: Physical and chemical analysis of the tested soil

K.	A.	<b>Roshdy</b>
----	----	---------------

Texture grade	Silty clay
E.C. ( mmhos. \cm/ \*°°C)	۰.٤٠
O.M. %	۲.۰
CaCO, %	1.07
Total N %	•.1•
Available P ( Olsen method ) ppm	۲.۰
Available K ( ammonium acetate) ppm	٤١١

Regular management except N fertilization in all sources in the orchard was carried out as usual.

The present experiment involved seven treatments as follow:-

- 1-Using the suitable N ( "·· g N / plant) completely via inorganic N source namely ammonium sulphate ( גריל N ( גריל kg per each plant).
- Y-Using the suitable N as A·X inorganic (1.17° kg ammonium sulphate) + 1 · % organic (1.° kg compost) + 1 · X / bio ( \* · g Minia Azotene ) per each plant.
- r-Using the suitable N as \.'. inorganic (... Aro kg ammonium sulphate) + r. % organic namely compost / r'. N + (r. % bio) (l. g Minia Azotene) per each plant.
- ٤-Using the suitable N as ٤٠% inorganic (•.০٨০ kg ammonium sulphate) + ٣٠% organic (٤.০ kg compost) + ٣٠% / bio (٩٠ g Minia Azotene) per each plant.
- O-Using the suitable N as ۲۰٪ inorganic (۰.۲۹۰ kg ammonium sulphate + ٤٠ % inorganic (٦.٠ kg compost) + ٤٠٪ bio (۱۲۰ g Minia Azotene) per each plant.

- I-Using the suitable N completely via organic (IO... kg compost) per each plant.
- Y- Using the suitable N completely via bioform/ ۳۰۰ g Minia Azotene) per each plant.

Each treatment was replicated three times, one stool per each replicate ( $\Upsilon$ ) stools for the third ratoons and the same number for the fourth ones). Inorganic N namely ammonium sulphate ( $\Upsilon$ ,  $\Im$ , N) was splitted into  $\Upsilon$  equal batches and added twice per reach month for months started on April and ended on October during each season. Compost was added once at the second week of Jan. Minia Azotene biofertilizer was added once at the second week of March by making digs around each plant and putting the specific amount of Minia Azotene. Digs were subjected to irrigation afterwards. Organic source (compost,  $\Upsilon$ , N) was added in digs  $\Upsilon$  cm depth around each plant and covered with soil after the addition.

Complete randomized block design was adopted for the present experiment.

After the emergence of the inflorescence ( $\tau^{rd}$  week of July for both the third and fourth rations), the following growth characters were measured.

- a) Pseudostem height (cm) was measured from the soil surface up to the petiole of the last emerged leaf.
- b) Girth of pseudostem (cm) in the base, middle and top of pseudostem was recorded then the average was calculated.
- c) Leaf area was recorded according to the equation reported by Ahmed and Morsy (1٩٩٩):

$$LA(m) = \cdot . \forall (L \times W) + \vee \cdot \vee . \circ$$

which L = leaf length (cm) and W = leaf width (cm).

# Leaf mineral content:

Leaf samples were taken from the third upper leaf in the descending leaves from the top of the plant after bunch shooting in the last week of August in both seasons (Hewitt, 1900). Samples were oven dried at  $\vee \cdot \circ C$  and digested. The clear digestion was quantitatively transferred to  $\vee \cdot \cdot$  ml volumetric flask. In this solution, the following nutrients were determined according to Wilde *et al.*, (1940).

- a) Total N was determined by using micro- Kjeldahl method.
- b) Phosphorus was determined by using Olsen method.
- c) Potassium was determined by using flame photometer.

# Yield and fruit quality:

Bunch of each plant was picked when the fingers reached three quarter stage. Before artificial ripening weights of bunch and hand were recorded. Six hands were taken from the base, middle and distal end of bunch as a composite sample for different characters. The chosen hands were wrapped with newspaper and arranged in closed wooden boxes with a glass surface to achieve artificial ripening and after the fingers were ripened, the following physical and chemical characters were determined:

- a) Finger weight (g.)
- b) Percentages of starch, total soluble solids, total and reducing sugars and total acidity (as g malic acid /  $\cdot \cdot \cdot$  g pulp). (A.O.A.C., 1990).
- c) Nitrate and nitrite content (ppm) in the pulp were determined according to the procedures that outlined by Ridnour- Liza *et al.*, (Y···).

The obtained data were statistically analyzed according to the methods of Mead *et al.*, (1997) and the differences between various treatment means were compared using new L.S.D. test at  $\circ\%$ .

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on some growth characters:

Data in Table <sup>r</sup> clearly show that the three growth characters of Grandnaine banana plants were significantly affected by varying the percentages of inorganic, organic and biofertilization.

Table Y: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on the<br/>pseudostem height (cm.), pseudostem girth (cm.) and the<br/>leaf area (m<sup>Y</sup>) of Grandnaine banana plants during Y··//

Treatment	Pseudostem height (cm.)		Pseuc girth	Pseudostem girth (cm.)		
	۲۰۰۸/	۲٩/	۲۰۰۸/	۲٩/		
	۲٩	۲.۱.	۲٩	۲.۱.		
۱۰۰٪ inorg.	۲.٩.۲	۲۱۳.۳	۸۳.۳	۸۲.۷		
$\wedge \cdot $ inorg. + $\wedge \cdot $ org. + $\wedge \cdot $ bio.	۲۱۱.۹	110	٨٥.٢	٨٤		
ヽ. / inorg. + ヾ . / org. + ヾ . / bio.	110	۲۱۷.۰	۸۷.۰	۸٦		
$\mathfrak{t} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ inorg. + $\mathfrak{r} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ org. + $\mathfrak{r} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ bio.	۲۰٦.۳	4.9.4	۸۱.۲	۸۸.۲		
$\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{X}$ inorg. $+ \mathbf{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{X}$ org. $+ \mathbf{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{X}$ bio.	4.2.7	۲.۷.۰	٧٩	۸۱.۰		
ヽ・・% organic ( org.)	۲۰۱.۳	7.2.9	٧٧.٧	٧٩		
<b>ヽ・</b> ズ biofertilization (bio)	199.0	7.7.7	۷٦.١	۷۷.۳		
New L.S.D. at •%	۱.۷	۲. ۰	۱.۱	۱.۰		
	Leaf ar	rea (m <sup>°</sup> )	Leaf N %			
ヽヽヾ゚ inorg.	1.77	1.72	۲.٦٠	4.09		
$\wedge \cdot \%$ inorg. + $\vee \cdot $ org. + $\vee \cdot $ bio.	1.77	1.70	۲.۷۰	4.29		
ヽヽ´/ inorg. + ヾヽ´/ org. + ヾヽ´/ bio.	۱.۰۰	1.20	۲.۷۸	۲.۸۱		
٤ • ½ inorg. + ۳ • ½ org. + ۳ • ½ bio.	1.17	1.15	۲.0.	۲.0١		
$\forall \cdot / inorg. + t \cdot / org. + t \cdot / bio.$	۱۷	1.+ £	۲.٤٣	۲.٤٣		
۱۰۰% organic ( org.)	1.97	•.95	۲.۳۷	۲.۳۷		
$\cdots$ iofertilization (bio)	۰.۸٦	•.\£	4.44	۲.۳۱		

 $\gamma \cdot \eta$  and  $\gamma \cdot \eta / \gamma \cdot \eta$  seasons.

New L.S.D. at •%		9	• . • ٦	0		
Inora _ inorgania ( Ammonium culnhota Y 7 0/ N)						

Inorg. = inorganic ( Ammonium sulphate ,  $\cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes N$ )

Org. = organic (Compost ,  $\Upsilon \% N$ )

Bio. = bioform ( Minia Azotene)

Using the suitable rate of N ( $\forall \cdot \cdot g/$  plant) through  $\forall \cdot to \land \cdot \%$ inorganic N plus  $\flat \cdot to \forall \cdot \%$  organic and biofertilization significantly improved these growth characters compared with using N completely via the three sources or using inorganic N at percentages lower than  $\forall \cdot \%$  of the suitable N. Application of the suitable N as  $\flat \cdot \%$  inorganic form was preferable than using N completely via organic or bioforms as well as when inorganic N was added at percentages lower than  $\forall \cdot \%$  inorganic +  $\forall \cdot \%$  organic +  $\forall \cdot$  bioform. Biofertilization alone gave the minimum values. These results were true in both  $\forall \cdot \cdot \%/$   $\forall \cdot \cdot \%$ and  $\forall \cdot \cdot \%/$   $\forall \cdot \cdot \land$  seasons.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Gobara  $(\Upsilon \cdot \cdot \xi)$ , El- Sawy  $(\Upsilon \cdot \cdot \circ)$  and El- Shenawi *et al.*,  $(\Upsilon \cdot \cdot \wedge)$ .

# Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on leaf chemical composition:

It is evident from Tables  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Upsilon$  that using the suitable N via  $\Im$ ...  $\land$  % inorganic N +  $\Im$ ... $\Upsilon$ , organic and biofertilization significantly succeeded in enhancing percentages of N in the leaf compared with using N as  $\Im$ ... $\chi$  inorganic, organic or bioform or using inorganic N at percentages lower than  $\Im$ ... $\chi$ . Fertilizing the plants with N via  $\Im$ . $\chi$  inorganic +  $\Upsilon$ . organic +  $\Upsilon$ . bio gave the maximum values of N in the leaf. The minimum values of N were recorded on plants received N as  $\Im$ ...% biofertilization. Concerning the effect of the present treatments on the percentages of P and K in the leaves revealed that using organic and biofertilizers either singly or in combined with inorganic form significantly enhanced percentages of P and K in the leaf as compared with using N completely via inorganic + source ( $\Im$ ... $\chi$  inorganic). Using the suitable N through  $\Im$ . $\chi$  inorganic +

 $\gamma \cdot \%$  organic +  $\gamma \cdot$  bioform gave the highest values. The minimum values were detected on plants fertilized with inorganic N alone. Similar trend was observed during both seasons.

The results of Kamel  $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ ; Abd El- Monaem- Eman and Radwan  $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ ; Hammam  $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$  and Roshdy  $(7 \cdot \cdot 5)$  supported the present results.

# Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on weights of bunch and hand.

Data in Table r clearly show that varying the proportions of N sources had significant effect on weights of bunch and hand. Using the suitable N completely via inorganic form was preferable in improving weights of bunch and hand as compared with using N completely via organic or bioforms as well as when inorganic N was added at  $r \cdot$  to

 $\varepsilon$  % of the suitable N.

Table ": Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on the percentages of P and K in the leaves, bunch weight (kg.) and hand weight (kg.) of Grandnaine banana plants during Y...A/Y...A and Y...A/Y... seasons.

Treatment	Leaf P %		Leat	f K %
	۲۰۰۸/	۲٩/	۲۰۰۸/	۲۹/
	۲٩	۲.۱.	۲٩	۲.۱.
ヽ・ゾ inorg.	•.**	•.72	۱.۷۰	۱.٦٨
$\wedge \cdot $ inorg. + $\wedge \cdot $ org. + $\wedge \cdot $ bio.	•. 5 ٦	•.±V	4.40	4.44
$\forall \cdot \ddot{\ }$ inorg. + $\forall \cdot \ddot{\ }$ org. + $\forall \cdot \ddot{\ }$ bio.			۲.۳٥	۲.۳۱
٤٠٪ inorg. + ٣٠٪ org. + ٣٠٪ bio.	۰.۳۹	•	۲.۰۰	۲ ۸
۲۰٪ inorg. + ٤۰٪ org. + ٤۰٪ bio.	۰.٣٦	•.72	1.97	1.99
ヽ・・% organic ( org.)	۰.۳۳	•	۱.۸۸	۱.۸۹
ヽ・. <sup>½</sup> biofertilization (bio)	۰.۳۰	•. ٢٨	۱.۸۰	۱.۷۹

New L.S.D. at •%	•.• ٢	• . • ۲	۰.۰۲	• . • ٧
	Bunch weight (kg.)		Hand weight (kg.	
ヽ・・٪ inorg.	۱٦.١	۱۷.۰	۲.٤١	۲.٦٠
$\wedge \cdot $ inorg. + $\wedge \cdot $ org. + $\wedge \cdot $ bio.	۱۸.۹	19.0	۲.۷٥	۲.۸۰
$\forall \cdot $ inorg. + $\forall \cdot $ org. + $\forall \cdot $ bio.	22.2	۲۳.۰	4.99	۳.۱۰
٤ • ٪ inorg. + ۳ • ٪ org. + ۳ • ٪ bio.	۱٤.۰	12.0	4.44	4.20
۲۰٪ inorg. + ٤۰٪ org. + ٤۰٪ bio.	17.0	۱۱.۹	7.1.	۲.۳۰
ヽ・・% organic ( org.)	1	٩.٩	1.99	7.10
ヽ・. <sup>½</sup> biofertilization (bio)	۸.۰	۷.۷	1.9.	۲.۰۰
New L.S.D. at •%	۱.۸	۲.۰	9	

Effect of organic and biofertilization on Banana plants

Inorg. = inorganic (Ammonium sulphate,  $7 \cdot . 7 \%$  N)

Org. = organic (Compost, ۲ % N)

Bio. = bioform (Minia Azotene)

There was a gradual promotion on weights of bunch and hand with decreasing the percentages of inorganic N from  $1 \cdot \cdot$  to  $7 \cdot \%$  and at the same time increasing the percentages of both organic and biofertilizers from  $1 \cdot$  to  $7 \cdot \%$ . Fertilizing the plants with N through  $7 \cdot$ % inorganic +  $7 \cdot \%$  organic +  $7 \cdot \%$  bioform effectively maximized weights of bunch (77.7 and  $77.7 \cdot$  kg) and hand (7.99 and  $7.1 \cdot$  kg) during both seasons. The minimum values of bunch weights (A... and V.V kg) as well as hand ( $1.9 \cdot$  and  $7... \cdot$  kg) were recorded when the plants biofertilized only with Minia Azotene. These results were true in both seasons.

Similar results were reported by Ahmed *et al.*, (1997); El-Shamaa  $(7 \cdot \cdot 1)$ , Kamel  $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ ; Ahmed *et al.*,  $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$  and El-Sawy  $(7 \cdot \cdot 9)$ .

# Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on some physical and chemical characters of the fruits.

Obtained data in Tables  $\xi$  and  $\circ$  show that varying N sources had significant effect on quality of the fruits. Using the optimum rate of N ( $\tau \cdot g/$  plant) as  $\tau \cdot$  to  $\wedge \cdot \%$  organic plus  $\tau \cdot \tau \%$  or bio fertilizers significantly improved fruit quality in terms of increasing finger weight, total soluble solids and reducing sugars and decreasing total acidity and starch as compared with using N completely via inorganic, organic and bio fertilizers or using inorganic N at percentages lower than  $\tau \cdot \%$  even with using organic and biofertilizers.

Organic or biofertilization when each was applied alone had significant and promotive effect on fruit quality as compared with using inorganic fertilization. Unacceptable effect on fruit quality was observed when N was applied as  $1 \cdot \cdot ?$  inorganic. Organic fertilization was favourable than biofertilization in this respect. The best results with regard to fruit quality were obtained when the plants received a program of N fertilization consisted from  $1 \cdot ?$  inorganic +  $1 \cdot ?$  biofertilizers. These results were true during both seasons.

These results are almost the same with those obtained by Gobara  $(7 \cdot \cdot \xi)$ ; El Shenawi and Hassouna  $(7 \cdot \cdot \xi)$  and Sayed- Shren  $(7 \cdot \cdot \xi)$ .

Table 4: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on finger<br/>weight (g.) and percentages of starch, total soluble solids and<br/>reducing sugars of Grandnaine banana plants during Y • • ^/<br/>Y • • • • • and Y • • • • / Y • • • • seasons.

Treatment	Finger weight		Finger weightStarch %	
	(g	(g.)		
	۲۰۰۸/	۲٩/	۲۸/	۲۰۰۹/

	۲٩	۲.۱.	۲٩	۲.۱.
ヽヽ.٪ inorg.	۹۳.۸	97.7	١.٥	۱.۱
$\wedge \cdot \ddot{\prime}$ inorg. + $\wedge \cdot \ddot{\prime}$ org. + $\wedge \cdot \ddot{\prime}$ bio.	17	177	1.7	۱.۰
ヽヾ゚ inorg. + ヾヾ゚ org. + ヾヾ゚ bio.	172.0	170	۱.۰	۰.۸
٤٠٪ inorg. + ٣٠٪ org. + ٣٠٪ bio.	111.•	۱۰۷.۰	۱.۸	۱.٤
۲۰٪ inorg. + ٤۰٪ org. + ٤۰٪ bio.	1.0.9	۱۰۸.۰	۱.۸	۱.٦
۱۰۰ % organic ( org.)	1.1	1.2.	۱.۸	۱.۸
ヽ・・ <sup>ズ</sup> biofertilization (bio)	٩٧.٠	1	۲.۰	۲.۱
New L.S.D. at •%	۳.۰	۲.۸	۰.۲	۰.۲
	T.S.	S. %	Reducir	ng sugars %
۱۰۰٪ inorg.	T.S.	S. %	Reducir ٦.٨	ng sugars %
ヽ <b>・</b> バ inorg. ∧・バ inorg. + ヽ・バ org. + ヽ・バ bio.	T.S. 1V.0 7£	S. % 1A T	Reducir ٦.٨ ٨.٧	ng sugars % ۲.۷ ۹.۰
<b>ヽ</b> ・. <sup>7</sup> inorg. ∧ · <sup>7</sup> inorg. + ヽ · <sup>7</sup> org. + ヽ · <sup>7</sup> bio. ¬ · <sup>7</sup> inorg. + <sup>¬</sup> · <sup>7</sup> org. + <sup>¬</sup> · <sup>7</sup> bio.	T.S. 1V.0 7£ 7A	S. % 1A 7 7٣	Reducir           ٦.٨           ٨.٧           ٩.١	ng sugars % ٦.√ ٩.٠ ٩.٣
1 • • ½ inorg. A • ½ inorg. + 1 • ½ org. + 1 • ½ bio. T • ½ inorg. + T • ½ org. + T • ½ bio. £ • ½ inorg. + T • ½ org. + T • ½ bio.	T.S. 1V.0 72 7 19.0	S. % 1A 7 7 19.0	Reducir           ٦.٨           ٨.٧           ٩.١           ٨.٠	ng sugars % ٦.∨ ٩.٠ ٩.٣ ٨.٢
1 • • * / inorg. A • * / inorg. + 1 • * org. + 1 • * / bio. 7 • * / inorg. + * • * org. + * • * bio. £ • * / inorg. + * • * org. + * • * bio. * • % inorg. + £ • * org. + £ • * bio.	T.S. 1V.0 7£ 7A 19.0	S. % 1 A 7 7 1 9. 0 1 9. 7	Reducir           ٦.٨           ٨.٧           ٩.1           ٨.٠           ٧.٦	ng sugars % ٦.٧       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٧.٨
\% inorg. \% inorg. + \.% org. + \.% bio. \.%.% inorg. + Y.% org. + Y.% bio. \.%.% inorg. + Y.% org. + Y.% bio. Y.% inorg. + \$.% org. + \$.% bio. Y.% organic ( org.)	T.S. 1 V. 0 Y £ Y A 1 9. 0 1 9. 1 1 A.A	S. % 1 A Y Y 1 9. 0 1 9. Y 1 A. A	Reducir           ٦.٨           ٨.٧           ٩.١           ٨.٠           ٧.٦           ٧.٣	ng sugars % ٦.٧       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٧.٠       ٧.٠
\% inorg.         \% inorg. + \.% org. + \.% bio.         \.% inorg. + Y.% org. + Y.% bio.         \$\.% inorg. + Y.% org. + Y.% bio.         Y.% inorg. + \$\.% org. + \$\.% bio.         Y.% organic ( org.)         \% biofertilization (bio)	T.S. 1V.0 Yź YÅ 19.0 19.1 1A.A 1A.W	S. % 1 A T T 1 9. 0 1 9 1 A. A 1 A. 0	Reducir           ٦.٨           ٨.٧           ٩.١           ٨.٠           ٧.٦           ٧.٣           ٧.٠	ng sugars % ٦.٧       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٩.٠       ٧.٠       ٧.٠       ٧.٠

Effect of organic and biofertilization on Banana plants

Inorg. = inorganic ( Ammonium sulphate ,  $\forall \cdot . \forall \% N$ )

Org. = organic (Compost ,  $\forall \% N$ )

Bio. = bioform (Minia Azotene)

Table •: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on percentage<br/>of total sugars and total acidity as well as pulp content of<br/>nitrate and nitrite (ppm) of Grandnaine banana plants<br/>during  $\forall \cdot \cdot \wedge / \forall \cdot \cdot \land$  and  $\forall \cdot \cdot \land / \forall \cdot \cdot \land$  seasons.

Treatment	Total sugars %		Total a	Total acidity %		
	۲۰۰۸/	۲٩/	۲۰۰۸/	۲۰۰۹/		
	۲٩	۲.۱.	۲٩	۲.۱.		
ヽヽ.٪ inorg.	12.0	12.7	099			
$\wedge \cdot $ inorg. + $\wedge $ org. + $\wedge $ bio.	۱۷.۷	۱۸.۰	•.±••	•. ± ± ٨		
$\forall \cdot $ inorg. + $\forall \cdot $ org. + $\forall \cdot $ bio.	۱۸.٤	۱۸.٦	·. £ 1 A	•. £ 7 9		
$\mathfrak{t} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ inorg. + $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ org. + $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ bio.	۱٦.٨	۱۷.۰		•. £97		
۲ • ٪ inorg. + ٤ • ٪ org. + ٤ • ٪ bio.	١٦.١	17.0	07.	011		
۱۰۰ % organic ( org.)	10.7	۱٦.٠	07.	0£.		
ヽ・・½ biofertilization (bio)	10.1	10.7	091	077		
New L.S.D. at •%	•.±	0		• • • • • •		
	Nitrat	e (ppm)	Nitrite (ppm)			
ヽ・ヾ゚ inorg.	۷.۱۱	۲. • •	£.00	0.71		
$\wedge \cdot $ inorg. + $\vee \cdot $ org. + $\vee \cdot $ bio.	۳.۰۰	۲.٩.	1.5.	•. £ 1		
$\forall \cdot \%$ inorg. + $\forall \cdot \%$ org. + $\forall \cdot \%$ bio.	4.40	۲.٤.	1.77			
$\mathfrak{t} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ inorg. + $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ org. + $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathfrak{I}$ bio.	۲.۰۰	۲	1	•. • •		
۲ • ٪ inorg. + ٤ • ٪ org. + ٤ • ٪ bio.	1.9.	1.77	•	۰.۸۰		
۱۰۰% organic ( org.)	1.0.	1.51	۰.۸۰	۰.٦٧		
ヽ・. <sup>%</sup> biofertilization (bio)	۱.۳۰	1.78	۰.٦٧	01		
New L.S.D. at •%	•.10	•	• . • 0	۰.۰۲		

Inorg. = inorganic ( Ammonium sulphate ,  $\forall \cdot . \forall \% N$ ) Org. = organic (Compost ,  $\forall \% N$ ) Bio. = bioform ( Minia Azotene)

# Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on pulp contents of nitrate and nitrite

Varying N sources caused significant effect on nitrate and nitrite content of the pulp. Using organic and biofertilizers either singly or in combined with inorganic form significantly reduced nitrate and nitrite content compared with using N completely via inorganic form. The reduction in nitrate and nitrite was associated with decreasing inorganic and at the same time increasing both organic and biofertilizer percentages.

The minimum values of nitrate (1.7, and 1.7, ppm) and nitrite (..., v and ..., ppm) were recorded on the pulp of fingers that harvested from plants received N completely via biofertilizers in both seasons, respectively. Supplying the plants with N as 1..., inorganic form gave the maximum values of nitrate (v.1) and 1... ppm) and nitrite  $(\pounds.\circ\circ$  and  $\circ.., ppm)$  during both seasons, respectively.

The previous positive action of organic and biofertilizers on fruiting of Grandnaine banana plants might be attributed to one or more of the following points (Nijjar, 1940 and Kannaiyan, 7...7).

1-They enhance soil fertility.

Y-They stimulate the availability of nutrients and N fixation.

"-They secret various natural hormones and antibiotics.

As a conclusion, supplying Grandnaine banana plants with N at  $\forall \cdot \cdot g/$  plant in the form of inorganic N at  $\neg \cdot \%$ , organic at  $\forall \cdot \%$  and bioform at  $\forall \cdot \%$  is suggested to be beneficial for improving yield and fruit quality and at the same time reducing at the lower extent the pollution occurred by nitrate and nitrite in the fruit pulp.

### REFERENCES

- Abd El- Monaem- Eman, A. and Radwan, S.M.A. (ליייד): Response of Williams banana plants to biofertilization in relation to growth, productivity and fruit quality. Arab Univ., J Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ. Cairo, וו(ל): אסו-אזד.
- Ahmed, F.F. and Morsy, M.H. (1999): A new method for measuring leaf area in different fruit species. Minia J. Agric Res. & Develop. Vol. 19 pp. 97-100.
- Ahmed, F.F. ; Abdalla, A.S. and Sabour- Asmaa, M.T.Y. (۲۰۰۳): Growth and fruiting of Williams banana as affected by some antioxidant and biofertilizer treatments. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (۲۳) No. 1 pp. 01-74.
- Ahmed, F.F.; Hammam, M.S. and Mayaz, M. (١٩٩٧): Adjusting the appropriate times for the addition of N, P and K in Williams banana . Egypt J. of Appl. Sci. 17 No.9.
- Ahmed, F.F. Hammam, M.S. and Ragab, M.A. (۱۹۹۹٦): Effect of varying nitrogen sources on growth and productivity of Williams banana. ε<sup>th</sup> Arabic Conf. for Hort. Crops, Minia. Egypt.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemistry (A.O.A.C.) (۱۹۹۰): Official Methods of Analysis ۱٤<sup>th</sup> ed. Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. U.S.A. pp. ٤٩٠-٥١٠.
- El- Sawy, Y.A.E. (۲...): Studies on the effect of some organic fertilizers, ammonium nitrate and the biofertilizer (Algae extract) on growth and productivity of Williams banana (*Musa Cavendishii* L.). M.Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

- El- Shamaa, M.S. (۲۰۰۱): Effect of biofertilizers on growth and yield of banana plants (Williams cv). Assiut, J. of Agric. Sci. ۳۲ No. (1) ۱۹۷- ۱۲٦.
- El- Shenawi, M.R. and Hassouna, M.G. (۲...٤): Impact of biofertilizer on growth and yield of banana Williams in the sandy soil at Nubaria region. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ۲۹(۱۱): ٦٥٢٧-٦٥٣٥.
- El- Shenawi, M.R.; Aly-Hoda, S.H. and Badran, M.A.F. (۲۰۰۸): Response of Grandnaine" banana to humic acid, potassium and mangnesium fertilization. Alex. Sci. J. Vol. ۲۹ No. ٤ pp. ٢٤٤-٢٥١.
- Gobara, A.A. (۲۰۰٤): Performance of Grandnaine banana plants to biofertilization. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ۲۹ (۹): ٥٢٢١-٥٢٢٩.
- Hammam, M.S. (۲۰۰۳): Effect of biofertilization on growth and fruiting of Cavendish and Williams bananas. Egypt J. Hort. Vol. ۳. No. 1-7 pp. ٦٧-٨١.
- Hammam, M.S.; Ibrahim, E.G. and Mansour, A.E.M. (۲۰۰۳): Response of William banana to some organic nitrogen fertilizers. Egypt J. Hort. Vol.<sup>r</sup>. No. 1-7 p. 01- 70.
- Hewitt, C.W. (۱۹۰۰): Leaf Analysis as a guide to the nutrition of banana. En. P. J. Exp. Agric. (۲۳): ۱۱.
- Kamel, M.B. (\*..\*): Physiological studies on biofertilization of banana plants cv. Williams . Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

- Kannaiyan, S. (۲۰۰۲): Biotechnology of Biofertilizers. Alpha Sci-Inter. Ltd B.P. Box ٤.٦٧ Pang Boorne R. ٦٨٤ K pp. ١-٢٧٥.
- Mead, R. ; Currnow, R.N. and Harted, A.M. (۱۹۹۳): Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology Υ<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Chapman, Hall London ۱۰-εε.
- Nijjar, G.S. (۱۹۸۰): Nutrition of Fruit Trees. Mrs Usha Raj Kumar for Kalyany publishers, New Delhi pp. ۱۰- ۰۲.
- Ridnour- Liza, A.; Sim- Julia, E.; Michael , A.H.; David, A.W.; Sean, M.M.; Garry, R.B. and Douglas, R.S. (۲۰۰۰): A spectrophotometric Method for the direct detection and quantitation of nitric oxide, nitrite and nitrate in cell culture media. Analytical Biochemistry, ۲۸۱, ۲۲۳- ۲۲۹.
- Roshdy, Kh, A. (۲...٤): Effect of some organic nitrogen fertilizers on growth and fruiting of Williams banana. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Sayed- Shren, M.A. (Y··· ±): Influence of plant density and some fertilizer treatments on growth, yield and quality of Williams and Grande Naine bananas. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Soliman, M.G.A. (۲...): Response of banana and guava plants to some biological and mineral fertilizers. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Alex. Univ. Egypt.
- Stover, R.H. and Simmonds, N.W. ( $14\Lambda V$ ): Bananas.  $r^{rd}$  Ed. Longman Sci. Tech. pp.  $\epsilon r - \tau \Lambda$ .
- Wilde, S.A.; Corg, R.B.; Lyer, J.B. and Voigt, G.K. (۱۹۸٥): Soils and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford IBH, New Delhi, India pp. ٩٤- ١٠٥.

# تأثير التسميد العضوى والحيوى كبديل جزئى للتسميد الغير عضوى على الإثـمار في الموز الجراندنان

خالد أحمد رشدى

قسم بحوث الفاكهة الاستوائية معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة-

مصر

خلال موسمی ۲۰۰۸ / ۲۰۰۹ ، ۲۰۰۹ / ۲۰۰۹ تم تسمید نباتات الموز الجراندنان بالکمیة المناسبة من النیتروجین وهی ۳۰۰ جرام للنبات علی اساس ۱۰۰% سماد غیر عضوی ، ۱۰۰% سماد عضوی ، ۱۰۰% سماد حیوی ، ۸۰% سماد غیر عضوی + ۱۰ % سماد عضوی + ۱۰ % سماد حیوی ، ۲۰% سما غیر عضوی + ۲۰ % سماد عضوی + ۲۰ % سماد حیوی ، ۵۰% سماد غیر عضوی + ۲۰ % سماد عضوی + ۲۰ % سماد حیوی ، ۵۰% سماد غیر عضوی + ۲۰ % سماد سماد حیوی أو ۲۰% سماد غیر عضوی + ۰۰ % سماد عضوی + ۰۰ % سماد حیوی کمحاولة لتقلیل کمیة السماد النیتروجینی الغیر عضوی وکانت مصادر التسمید الغیر عضوی والعضوی والحیوی هی سلفات الامونیوم والکمبوست والمنیا ازوتین علی التوالی.

أشارت نتائج الدراسة انه بنقص النسبة المئوية من السماد النيتروجينى الغير عضوى من ١٠٠ الى ٢٠% وفى نفس الوقت زيادة النسبة المئوية لكلا من الاسمدة العضوية والحيوية من صفر الى ٢٠% من الكمية المناسبة من النيتروجين كان هناك تحسن في صفات النمو الخضرى وهى ارتفاع ومحيط الساق الكاذب ومساحة الورقة والنسب المئوية للنيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم فى الورقة ووزن السوياطة والكف والصباع والنسبة المئوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والسكريات الكلية والمختزلة كما أدى الى نقص محتوى اللب من الحموضة الكلية والنشا والنترات والنيتريت وذلك بالمقارنة باستخدام النيتروجين كاملا فى اى صورة.

- ۷ • -

وكانت هناك تأثيرات غير مرغوبة عند استخدام النيتروجين فى الصورة العضوية او الحيوية بنسبة ١٠٠ % كذلك ادى استخدام النيتروجين بنسبة تقل عن ٦٠% فى الصورة الغير عضوية الى تاثيرات غير مقبولة.

كان محتوى اللب من النترات والنيتريت يميل الى النقصان الواضح عند استخدام النيترجين فى الصورة العضوية او الحيوية فقط أو عند استخدام المصادر الثلاثة معاً وذلك بالمقارنة باستخدام النيتروجين كاملا فى الصورة الغير عضوية.

لآجل انتاج ثمار عضوية من الموز الجراندنان بالاضافة الى تحسين كمية المحصول كما ونوعا فانه يكون من المفضل تسميد نباتات الموز الجراندنان بمعدل ٣٠٠ جرام نيتروجين للنبات على اساس ٦٠ % سماد غير عضوى + ٢٠% سماد عضوى + ٢٠ % سماد حيوى.